

WORLD Resources Institute

Ecosystem Services Review for Impact Assessment

β version

IAIA Symposium on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services 08 February 2013

F. Landsberg, M. Stickler, N. Henninger, J. Treweek and O. Venn



Ecosystem Services

















2012 IFC PS 6:

- "maintain the benefits from ecosystem services"
- "identify (...) those services on which the project is directly dependent for its operations"







Overview

- ESR for IA: overview
- ESR for IA: implementation steps
- Preliminary findings from retrospective road-tests
- Next steps



ESR for IA: Objectives

 Impact: Maintain the benefits people derive from ecosystems impacted by the project

 Dependence: Manage ecosystem-dependent operations to achieve planned performance



ESR for IA: Framework



ESR for IA: ESIA process

Baseline and impact analysis stage

Scoping

stage

Mitigation stage

Identify key environmental and social issues and relevant ecosystem services **Output: ESIA ToR** Establish the baseline for key environmental and social issues and for priority services Assess project impact on key environmental and social issues and on priority services; assess project dependence on priority services **Output: ESIA report** Mitigate project impact on key environmental and social issues and on priority services; manage project dependence on priority services Output: E & S Management Plans

Overview

- ESR for IA: overview
- ESR for IA: implementation steps
- Preliminary findings from retrospective road-tests
- Next steps



ESR for IA: Implementation steps



Step 1: Identify relevant ecosystem services



Dependence







Ecosystem services on which the project depends









Step 2: Prioritize relevant ecosystem services

Priority

ecosystem services

Impact

Could the project affect the ability of others to benefit from this ecosystem service?

Yes or ?

Is this ecosystem service important to beneficiaries' livelihood, health, safety or cultural heritage?

Yes or ?

Do beneficiaries have alternatives to this ecosystem service?

No or ?

Dependence

Could changes in this ecosystem service be such that it could affect project operational performance?

Yes

Does the project have alternatives to this ecosystem service?



Impact Prioritization Tool

From Step 1			Step 2.1: Could the project affect the ability of others to benefit from this ecosystem service?		Step 2.2: Is this ecosystem service important to beneficiaries' livelihoods, health, safety or cultural heritage?		Step 2.3: Do beneficiaries have alternatives to this ecosystem service?		Priority ecosystem services
ecosystem services	beneficiaries Note: If one ecosystem service benefits more than one group of beneficiaries, add a line.	Affected benefits Note : If one group of beneficiaries derive more than one benefit from a single ecosystem service, add a line.	Y Yes N No(go to next line) ? Unknown	Comments or supporting information	Y Yes N No (go to next line) ? Unknown	Comments or supporting information	Y Yes N No ? Unknown	Comments or supporting information	1 Priority ecosystem services 0 Non-priority eecosystem services
Impacted ecosys	tem: <i>Tundra</i>						1	10 · · ·	
Wild foods from reindeer	Commercial hunters from towns W. X and Y and	Income	?	Change in reindeer population is likely to be beyond natural variation within hunting areas, which will be reflected by smaller hunting quotas. There is no discarded meat. Any decrease in number of animals will impact the benefits derived from reindeer meat.	Y	Comercial hunters get at least 60% of their income from hunting.	N	Comercial hunters cannot get more than 40% of their income from sources other than hunting.	1
		Food and protein intake	?		Y	Reindeer meat is the only source of meat comercial hunters provide to their families.	N	Comercial hunters are poor and cannot afford buying the same quality of food	1
	towns w, A and Y and their households	Quality of life	?		Y		N]	
	meir nousenolas	Self-esteem			Y	Being a commercial hunter has a good social status despite low income.	N	Hunting reindeer is a pillar of community and personal identity. There is no alternative to it.	1
		Ability to help others	?		Y	Sharing of traditional foods is a main component of indigenous culture and well being	N		1
	Free time hunters from the province and their households	Food and protein intake	?		N	reindeer meat is only one of the sources of meat free timehunters consume.			0
		Quality of life	?		Y		N		1
		Self-esteem	?		Y	The vast majority of households in this Arctic region have an adult with a hunting licence.	N	Hunting reindeer is a pillar of community and personal identity. There is no alternative to it.	1
		Ability to help others	?		Y	Sharing of traditional foods is a main component of local culture and well being	N		1
Fur from reindeer	Commercial hunters from towns W, X and Y and their households	Income		Change in reindeer population is likely to be beyond natural variation within hunting areas, which will be reflected by smaller hunting quotas. The fur of most animals is used. Any decrease in number of animals will impact the benefits derived from reindeer meat.	?	The use of fur is not known.	Y		0
		Sense of identity (traditional clothes)	?		?		Y	Can be replaced by seal fur provided that increased seal hunting would still be sustainable	0
	nrouince and their i	Sense of identity (traditional clothes)	?		?		Y		0

Step 3: Define the scope of the assessment

- Delineate the ecosystem service area that includes
 - Ecosystems supplying priority services
 - Locations where affected stakeholders and project access priority services
- Identify indicators of project impact and dependence on priority services:
 - Priority service supply: flow of ecosystem service based

on ecosystem type and condition

 Priority service benefit: contribution of service to livelihood, health, safety, culture/ operations

Priority service supply

Dependence

Benefit to project

Turbidity of water in canals

Maintenance costs for pumps

Step 4: Establish the baseline for priority services

Establish the current benefits to affected stakeholders: based on socio-economic data and engagement of affected stakeholders

Current priority	Current benefit to affected			
service supply	stakeholders			
1.5-2 ton of rice/ha/ year	 Farmers' annual income from rice: \$2,000 Rate of malnutrition among children of downstream rice farmers: 15% 			

Step 5: Assess project impact on priority services

Predict impact on benefits to affected stakeholders



Assess impact significance



Step 5: Assess project dependence on priority services (*ctd*)

Predicting ecosystem services over the life of the project



Step 6: Mitigate impact on priority services

Gain in benefit from positive project impact on priority services

Enhance positive project impact on priority services

Compensate for loss in benefit due to negative project impact

Rectify negative impact on priority services

Minimize negative impact on priority services

Avoid negative impact on priority services

Current benefit Step 6: Manage dependence on priority services (*ctd*)

Supply of priority service required to achieve planned performance

Loss in operational performance <u>before</u> management of project dependence



Overview

- ESR for IA: overview
- ESR for IA: implementation steps
- Preliminary findings from retrospective road-tests
- Next steps



ESR for IA: preliminary feedback from retrospective road-tests

- Unveil social impacts as a result of environmental impacts
 - Support collaboration between environmental and social practitioners
 - Go beyond environmental impacts in terms of impacts on livelihoods
- Unveil dependence on environment for continued performance

Overview

- ESR for IA: overview
- ESR for IA: implementation steps
- Preliminary findings from retrospective road-tests
- Next steps



ESR for IA: next steps

Conduct on-going road-tests

	Continuous improveme	s improvement of ESR for IA text and tools				
I						
Now	April	May	December			
	2013	2013	2013			
	Publish β	2-day	Share			
	version	IAIĂ	lessons			
		training	learned			



WORLD Resources Institute

Thank you

Flandsberg@wri.org

+1-202-729-7665

Fictive project

<u>Project</u>

- Small oil facility (also produces electricity)
- Partial drainage of wetland
- Upgrade of existing road
- Water abstraction from lake
- Gas flaring

Environmental setting

- Large inland lake
- Grassland bottom of valley
- High erosion of lake shores
- Degraded bushland on steep slopes (risk of landslides)

Social setting

- Poor fishing communities along lake; some livestock
- High incidence of HIV/AIDS, malaria and water-borne diseases
- Dirt road, no electricity, no water supply and no waste treatment
- New guesthouse targeting rare bird species

